	Case 2:22-cv-01391-TLN-CSK Doc	ument 41	Filed 07/09/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	AKIVA A. ISRAEL,	No	o. 2:22-cv-1391 TL	N CSK P	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		RDER AND REVIS	SED SCHEDULING	
14	ROBERT NEGRETE,		<u>KDEK</u>		
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis. Plaintiff's unopposed				
18	motion to modify the Court's scheduling order is before the Court. (ECF No. 39.) As discussed				
19	below, plaintiff's motion is granted.				
20	I. BACKGROUND				
21	This action proceeds on plaintiff's complaint against defendant Negrete for retaliation in				
22	violation of the First Amendment, equal protection in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment,				
23	and deliberate indifference to plaintiff's serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth				
24	Amendment. (ECF No. 1.)				
25	On March 31, 2025, the Court issued the discovery and scheduling order setting the				
26	discovery deadline for July 31, 2025, and the pretrial motions deadline for October 23, 2025.				
27	(ECF No. 34.)				
28	///	4			
		1			

## Case 2:22-cv-01391-TLN-CSK Document 41 Filed 07/09/25 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiff's first motion to extend the discovery deadline was filed on June 20, 2025. (ECF No. 39.) The parties have been engaged in discovery, but myriad issues have interfered with plaintiff's ability to complete discovery responses and plaintiff had not yet received defendant's responses to plaintiff's discovery requests. (ECF No. 39 at 1.) Plaintiff suffers from an unidentified psychiatric illness, and side effects from psychiatric medication. (Id.) Plaintiff was transferred to a different prison, and plaintiff's legal materials were indiscriminately packed into 25 boxes. (Id. at 2.) Once plaintiff arrived at RJ Donovan, plaintiff was denied sufficient time to review the legal materials. Despite plaintiff's multiple requests, prison officials refused plaintiff access to the legal materials. (Id.) Plaintiff avers that the warden only recently made plaintiff's stored legal materials available, and plaintiff is now organizing some 20,000 pages of legal materials. Given such difficulties, plaintiff requests that the discovery deadline be extended for ninety days.

On July 3, 2025, defendant filed a statement of non-opposition, reserving all prior written objections, and acknowledging plaintiff's unique circumstances due to plaintiff's custodial transfer, medical conditions and pro se status. (ECF No. 40 at 1.)

## II. GOVERNING STANDARDS

"The district court is given broad discretion in supervising the pretrial phase of litigation."

Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). Rule 16(b) provides that "[a] schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "The schedule may be modified 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension."

Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson, 975 F.2d at 607).

## III. DISCUSSION

Plaintiff timely moved to extend the discovery deadline, and has demonstrated good cause to extend the discovery deadline, which defendant does not oppose. Plaintiff is cautioned, however, that the Court is not inclined to further extend the discovery deadline in this action. Plaintiff's motion was filed on June 20, 2025. Therefore, the discovery deadline is extended to

	Case 2:22-cv-01391-TLN-CSK Document 41 Filed 07/09/25 Page 3 of 3				
1	September 18, 2025. In light of this extension, the pretrial motions deadline is extended, sua				
2	sponte, to November 17, 2025.				
3	IV. CONCLUSION				
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's first motion to extend discovery				
5	(ECF No. 39) is granted. The discovery deadline is extended to September 18, 2025. The parties				
6	are advised that the Court is not inclined to further extend the discovery deadline in this action.				
7	The pretrial motions deadline is extended to November 17, 2025. In all other respects, the March				
8	31, 2025 discovery and scheduling order (ECF No. 34) remains in effect.				
9					
10	Dated: July 9, 2025				
11	CHI SOO KIM				
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
13	71715141571.100				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	3				